



Claim Correspondence Table

[illegible]



Explanatory material on how to complete the claim correspondence table

A HU application for which an acceleration is requested in the Global PPH pilot program must fulfil the requirement that all claims on file before the HIPO, as originally filed or as amended, for examination under the PPH must sufficiently correspond to one or more of those claims indicated as allowable in the OEE.

In this regard claims are considered to "sufficiently correspond" where, accounting for differences due to translations and claim format, the claims in the HIPO are of the same or similar scope as the claims in the OEE, or the claims in the HIPO are narrower in scope than the claims in the OEE.

The following considerations should be taken into account:

- A claim that is narrower in scope occurs when an OEE claim is amended to be further limited by an additional feature that is supported in the specification (description and/or claims). When possible, the narrower claim on file should be presented in dependent form.
- A claim in the HIPO which introduces a new/different category of claims to those claims indicated as allowable in the OEE may not sufficiently correspond to those claims indicated as allowable in the OEE.
- A claim in the HIPO which introduces a new/different category of claims but the patentability of which would be viewed by the HIPO as dependent on the patentability of the claim allowed by the OEE would be considered to sufficiently correspond, otherwise the claims in the HIPO of a new/different category would not be considered to sufficiently correspond to those that were allowed by the OEE.

For example:

- If the OEE claims are only directed to a new product, then the claims in the OEE directed to a use of the new product and to a composition comprising the new product are considered to sufficiently correspond.
- If the OEE claims are only directed to a process of manufacturing a product, then the claims in the OEE directed to product claims that are dependent on the corresponding process claims are not considered to sufficiently correspond.

Example of claim correspondence table

Nr. of HU Application claim(s)	Nr. of corresponding OEE claim(s)	Comments
1 to 5	1 to 5	Applicant has amended the claims to the present claims having the same scope as the claims of the applicant's corresponding OEE application. OEE claims 1 to 5 have been indicated as allowable in the notice dated <i>ddmmyyyy</i>
6	6+7	Claim 6 has the features of the OEE claims 6+7
7		Claim 7 has the additional feature "X" in relation to OEE claim 5 and is supported in the description (see page xx)